UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

Case No. 15-08397-RLM-7 IN RE:

116 U.S. Courthouse TOCON HOLDINGS, LLC,

46 East Ohio Street

Indianapolis, IN 46204

December 16, 2015 Debtor.

9:29 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF CONTINUED HEARING ON CREDITORS RITA CHAIREZ, AMOS HOSTETLER, DEBBIE HOSTETLER, BECKY NULL, AND MARIA TOVAR'S MOTION TO DISMISS WITH AN OBJECTION FILED BY THE TRUSTEE, THE DEBTOR, AND CREDITOR JOHNSON CONTROLS, INC.; BRIEF IN SUPPORT FILED BY CREDITOR

> BEFORE HONORABLE ROBYN L. MOBERLY UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CHIEF JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Frank Law, LLC

By: L. LEONA FRANK, ESQ.

155 East Market Street, Suite 860

Indianapolis, IN 46204

For the Chapter 7 Trustee, Jenice

Golson-Dunlap:

Rubin & Levin, P.C.

By: JAMES T. YOUNG, ESQ.

AMY B. BAKER, ESQ.

500 Marott Center

342 Massachusetts Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204

For Creditors Amos

Hostetler, Rita Chairez, By:

Becky Null, Debbie

Hostetler, Maria Tovar:

Taft, Stettinius & Hollister, LLP

THOMAS A. BARNARD, ESQ. RODNEY L. MICHAEL, JR., ESQ.

MICHAEL P. O'NEIL, ESQ.

One Indiana Square, Suite 3500

Indianapolis, IN 46204

Audio Operator:

Marcella Lockert

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

> J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

For Johnson Controls, Inc.:

Barnes & Thornburg, LLP By: KELLY J. HARTZLER, ESQ. 700 1st Source Bank Center 100 North Michigan

South Bend, IN 46601

Chadbourne & Parke, LLP

By: THOMAS J. HALL, SR., ESQ. 1301 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10019

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For First Federal Savings Bank:

DeLaney, Hartburg, Roth & Garrott, LLP By: ADRIAN LEE HALVERSTADT, III, ESQ.

533 Warren Street Huntington, IN 46750 representatives from Johnson Controls. On that date we entered through the east portion of the building. We walked through the main facility, so we headed west through the facility.

- Q Okay. May I stop you a second here so we can --
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q -- make a record and identify where you are. You were
 7 pointing out the area outlined in orange on Plaintiff's Exhibit
 8 35, correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Okay. Did you observe any asbestos in that part of the 11 property?
- A Yes. Prior to completing the walk-through with the
 contractors we had been provided with a copy of the asbestos
 report. I had reviewed it prior to that time. And so as we
 walked through the facility that day we also noted to the
 contractors we were with you know the different areas that were
 visible that had been in the report.
- Q About how much asbestos did you observe in the area outlined in orange on Plaintiff's Exhibit 35?
- A At that point, very little asbestos had been abated. The building was still intact. The current property owners had not yet started demolition or substantial demolition to the facility. So a majority of the asbestos was there and the report had indicated that all of the (indiscernible) and asbestos piping, I think those are all intact at that point in

- time. So it was very visible throughout the walk-through.
- Q All right, so I understand. So when you did your walkthrough the wrap, asbestos wrapping was still on the pipes in
- 4 the area outlined in orange on Plaintiff's Exhibit 35?
- 5 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And had you noticed any abatement done in that area at all of asbestos?
- 8 A No proper asbestos abatement had been completed. There
 9 were areas where we saw materials lying on the grounds, pipes,
- 10 et cetera, but the property owners it appeared were starting to
- 11 scrap some of the materials from the building. Workers had
- 12 been in there (indiscernible) and it was on the ground, but it
- 13 wasn't substantial abatement yet.
- 14 Q Was it asbestos that was lying on the ground?
- 15 A It appeared to be, yes.
- 16 Q Were the workers wearing any kind of protection?
- 17 A Yes.
- Okay. After you inspected the orange part of the property where did you go next?
- 20 A After walking through the main portion of the building we
- 21 did enter into the administration building. It's the office
- 22 area of the building that's been marked. We walked through the
- various floors that were in that portion of the building, noted
- 24 all of the different areas that needed to be demolished,
- abated, and that type of thing. From there we left the office

1 --

- 2 Q Let me stop you again so we --
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 Q -- can make our record. So you pointed to the building on
- 5 the north part of the property outlined in red on Plaintiff's
 - Exhibit 35 that's light in color as the administration
- 7 building?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Okay. Did you observe asbestos in that building?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Did you observe -- how much asbestos did you observe in
- 12 that building?
- 13 A Based on the 2006 asbestos survey report nearly all of the
- 14 flooring, the ceiling tiles, there was window glazing, there
- 15 were pipes that they had wrapped. So there was a substantial
- 16 amount of asbestos impact in that area.
- 17 Q Okay. And now you continue the tour.
- 18 A From there we went to the west to the engineering building
- 19 and walked through both floors of that facility. The upstairs
- 20 and the lower portion were (indiscernible) system was.
- 21 Q Okay. And that for the record is the building on the
- 22 northwest corner that's outlined in red on Plaintiff's Exhibit
- 23 35?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Did you observe asbestos in that building?

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

- 1 A Yes. Everything in that building appeared to be intact at
- 2 that point in time. It had appeared that people had started
- 3 breaking into the main building, windows were broken,
- 4 (indiscernible) but things were primarily intact within that
- 5 facility.
- 6 Q How much asbestos did you observe in the engineering
- 7 building?
- 8 A There was a lot of pipe wrapped and (indiscernible) things
- 9 of that nature within that building (indiscernible).
- 10 Q Okay. And then after you toured the engineering building
- 11 where did you go next?
- 12 A After the engineering building we went south and continued
- 13 our tour throughout the main facility.
- 14 Q And that's the plant, the part of the plant that's
- 15 outlined in yellow on Plaintiff's Exhibit 35?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And what did you see there?
- 18 A At that point the building was primarily intact, nothing
- 19 was substantially disturbed at that point in time. Everything
- 20 that was in the 2006 report seemed to be accurate.
- 21 Q Was there asbestos in that part of the building?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. And after that part of the building where did you
- 24 go?
- 25 A The last area we walked through was the area to the east

- of the facility. We toured that area before (indiscernible).
- Q And did you notice any asbestos in that area of the building?
- A Yes. Again there were pipes and things with the wrapping that had been noted in the 2006 report.
- 6 Q At some point after your tour were the buildings 7 demolished?
- A Yes. In 2012 the City staff was notified that demolition activities had occurred, and we had not received proper notification regarding those activities. They did not have building permits nor had they submitted the necessary notifications to get clearance. And so we had stopped work at that time, we gave them a stop work order until they did provide the necessary information for the portion of the building which was the southeast portion that came down first.
- Q Okay. You can go ahead and sit down because we're done with the map. Do you believe that all of the asbestos was abated before the buildings had been demolished?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q And why not?
- 21 A We know that they had abated the southeast corner.
- 22 There's also documentation that was submitted to IDEM that they
- 23 had abated the main portion of the building highlighted in
- 24 yellow on the exhibit. Beyond that there has never been any
- 25 kind of documentation submitted to IDEM within the virtual file

1 cabinet.

2

31

5

To our knowledge no proof has ever been provided to the City that it was handled properly. We have never seen the contractors on the site removing those materials prior to demolition activities occurring.

- O And did the City watch the site?
- 7 A We have several City staff that continue to watch the site frequently. It's a very visible site within the City of Goshen. Residents consistently call in and complain about this site. Our Mayor's Office gets called frequently about this site. And so we have several members of our staff that drive by frequently, keep an eye on things, and notify us if anything's happening on the property.
- Q Did any of those members of your staff ever observe any asbestos removal from the two buildings on the north part of the property before they were demolished?
- 17 A No.
- 18 MR. HALL: Objection, Your Honor, calls for hearsay.
- 19 A No.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 Q Have you overseen asbestos abatement at other buildings?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Does it require water and electricity?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Was there any water or electricity available at the site

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

that's the subject of today's hearing when the two northern buildings were demolished?

- No. To our knowledge there's no electricity to this 4 property. Water has been turned off. And we have no record of anyone ever using a meter or using water from the City to complete any activities of that nature.
- So based on your experience as the Brownfield Coordinator would it be possible to properly abate asbestos from those 9 buildings without water?
- MR. HALL: Objection, Your Honor, calls for expert 11 testimony.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- MR. MICHAEL: I think I can lay the -- may I have an 14 opportunity to lay the foundation?
- You have overseen asbestos abatement at other sites, 15 16 correct?
- 17 A Correct.

7

10

12

13

24

- Q What is one of the first things that has to be done before 18 19 you can start to abate asbestos at the site?
- Water is needed --20 A
- MR. HALL: Objection, Your Honor, calls for expert 21 22 testimony.
- -- to wet the asbestos to keep it moist. 23 A
- THE COURT: The issue in my mind is, does she know 25 the universe of information about asbestos remediation? I

would guess not. She's observed some. Has she observed enough quantity to be able to definitively say there are no other ways to remediate. So I'll sustain the objection.

- Based on your experience at other sites as the Brownfield Coordinator of Goshen, does the City of Goshen believe that there is asbestos in the debris piles at the property?
- 7 | Yes.
- Have you reported the City of Goshen's concerns with the 8 property?
- 10 A Yes.

19

25

- And when was that? 11
- There have been several points in time over the last 12 13 several years where we have had contact with IDEM asbestos 14 inspectors, both in Indianapolis and our region's 15 representatives indicating that we had concern. They had gone
- 16 to the site when we had originally stopped work on the site and taken samples themselves to confirm that there was asbestos on site. They had received the initial --
- MR. HALL: Objection, Your Honor. I'll move to She's testifying about what somebody else did. 20 strike.
- THE COURT: Is she supervising them? 21
- THE WITNESS: No. We received notification from 22 They touched base with us. 23 IDEM.
- THE COURT: Sustain the objection. 24
 - MR. MICHAEL: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?